

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES/DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United State Paten and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Wogmia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/660,005	09/12/2000	Thomas E. Saulpaugh	5181-66200	6061	
7590 08/22/2005		EXAMINER			
ATTEN: ROBERT C. KOWERT			PHAN, TAM T		
CONLEY, ROSE & TAYON P.C. P.O. BOX 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0398			2144		
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/22/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/660,005	SAULPAUGH ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Tam (Jenny) Phan	2144			

	Tam (Jenny) Phan	2144					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final reject	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
	olionae with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	on of the date of				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	·				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-28</u> .		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessare. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An evalence is	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatic REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	illy is below or allaci	ieu.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)					
	MARC D). THOMPSON					
		THOMPSON					
•							

PRIMARY EXAMINER



Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 08/01/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's arguments regarding the linking the address to a pregenerated message interface for accessing the service and the message interface computer-executable code built into the device, etc., these arguments have been addressed in the previous Final Office Action. Accordingly, the Office stands firm on the statutory rejection as set forth in the Final Rejection mailed on 06/01/2005 (01 June 2005).

MARC D. THOMPSON

PRIMARY EXAMINER